

On Sard's Quadrature Formulas of Order Two

PETER KÖHLER

*Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Technische Universität Braunschweig,
3300 Braunschweig, West Germany*

Communicated by Charles K. Chui

Received July 24, 1986

1. INTRODUCTION

Let an arbitrary weight function $w \in C[a, b]$ and nodes $y_{i,n}$ with

$$-\infty < a = y_{0,n} < y_{1,n} < \dots < y_{n,n} = b < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

be given. We consider quadrature formulas (q.f.)

$$Q_n[f] = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,n} f(y_{i,n})$$

which are exact for polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$ and therefore admit a Peano kernel representation for the remainder $R_n[f]$ if $f^{(r-1)}$ is absolutely continuous; i.e.,

$$R_n[f] = \int_a^b f(x) w(x) dx - Q_n[f] = \int_a^b f^{(r)}(x) K_{r,n}(x) dx, \tag{1.2}$$

where $K_{r,n}(x) = R_n[(\cdot - x)_+^{r-1}/(r-1)!]$ is the Peano kernel of order r of Q_n . By (1.2),

$$|R_n[f]| \leq \|K_{r,n}\|_2 \|f^{(r)}\|_2 \quad \text{for } f \in W_2^r, \tag{1.3}$$

where $W_p^r = \{f \in C^{r-1}[a, b]; f^{(r-1)} \text{ abs. cont., } \|f^{(r)}\|_p < \infty\}$, $\|f\|_p = (\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), and $\|f\|_\infty = \|f\| = \sup \text{ess}_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|$. A q.f. $Q_n = Q_n^s$ is called best in the sense of Sard (with respect to W_2^r , w , and $y_{0,n}, \dots, y_{n,n}$), if it minimizes $\|K_{r,n}\|_2$, i.e., if it admits the least constant c in the estimate $|R_n[f]| \leq c \|f^{(r)}\|_2$.

The investigation of Sard's q.f. for integrals with a preassigned (integrable) weight function was suggested by Schoenberg in [5]. Schoenberg considered questions of existence and characterization for q.f. which

contain also derivatives of f at the endpoints a and b of the interval of integration. In [3], Kershaw investigated Sard's q.f. of order two (i.e., $r=2$) for continuous weight functions. He obtained estimates of the L_2 -norm of the corresponding Peano kernel $K_{2,n}^s$ and of the error $R_n^s[f]$ for $f \in C^4[a, b]$, but did not consider the weights $a_{i,n}^s$ (except for $w \equiv 1$ and equidistant nodes). Here, we will improve Kershaw's results on the norm of the Peano kernel and on the convergence of $R_n^s[f]$, and discuss the weights $a_{i,n}^s$ in more detail, especially if the nodes are given by certain node distribution functions z (i.e., $y_{i,n} = z(i/n)$). We propose a generalization of the first and second conjecture of Meyers and Sard [4], which holds in the case considered here.

2. ARBITRARY NODES

From now on, we restrict to the case $r=2$. For the nodes $y_i = y_{i,n}$, only (1.1) is supposed to hold, and w is the preassigned continuous weight function. Let Q_n^s be Sard's q.f. of order two, R_n^s the corresponding remainder functional, and K_n^s the corresponding Peano kernel of order two. Further let

$$h_j = y_j - y_{j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_n = \max\{h_j; j=1, \dots, n\}.$$

THEOREM 2.1. (a) $\|K_n^s\|_2 \leq ((b-a)/120)^{1/2} \Delta_n^2 \|w\|$, and
 (b) $\|K_n^s\| \leq 3\Delta_n^2 \|w\|/8$.

Remark. Theorem 2.1(a) was proven by Kershaw [3] with the constant $(3/64)^{1/2} = 0.216506\dots$, whereas $(1/120)^{1/2} = 0.091287\dots$. This constant is best possible since, for $n=1$ and $w = \text{constant}$, we have equality in (a). From Theorem 2.1(a) and (1.3), we get the following

COROLLARY. $|R_n^s[f]| \leq ((b-a)/120)^{1/2} \Delta_n^2 \|w\| \|f''\|_2$ for $f \in W_2^2$.

If f'' is smooth, better estimates can be obtained. Let $\omega(f, t)$ denote the modulus of continuity of f , i.e., $\omega(f, t) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)|; |x - y| \leq t\}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $r_n[f] = 3\Delta_n^3 \|w\| (|f''(a)| + |f''(b)|)/16$. Then

- (a) $|R_n^s[f]| \leq (b-a)\Delta_n^2 \omega(f'', \Delta_n) \|w\|/120^{1/2} + r_n[f]$ for $f \in C^2[a, b]$,
- (b) $|R_n^s[f]| \leq (b-a)\Delta_n^3 \omega(f''', \Delta_n) \|w\|/60 + r_n[f]$ for $f \in C^3[a, b]$,
- (c) $|R_n^s[f]| \leq (b-a)\Delta_n^4 \|f^{(4)}\| \|w\|/120 + r_n[f]$ for $f \in C^4[a, b]$.

Remarks. (a) Kershaw [3] proved $R_n^s[f] = O(\Delta_n^{5/2})$ for $f \in C^4[a, b]$, whereas Theorem 2.2(b) gives $R_n^s[f] = O(\Delta_n^3)$ even for $f \in C^3[a, b]$. This

result cannot be improved further, since for $w \equiv 1$, equidistant nodes (i.e., $y_i = a + i\Delta_n$, $\Delta_n = (b - a)/n$), and $f \in W_1^4$, we have the following asymptotic behaviour of $R_n^s[f]$ (the proof will be omitted):

$$R_n^s[f] = -\Delta_n^3(f''(a) + f''(b))3^{1/2}/72 \\ + \Delta_n^4(f'''(b) - f'''(a))/720 + o(\Delta_n^4).$$

(b) For $f \in C^4[a, b]$ with $f''(a) = f''(b) = 0$, Theorem 2.2(c) gives $|R_n^s[f]| \leq (b - a) \Delta_n^4 \|f^{(4)}\| \|w\|/120$. This was also proven by Kershaw [3] with the constant $3^{3/2}/64 = 0.081189\dots$ ($1/120 = 0.008333\dots$).

(c) At least for $w \equiv 1$ and equidistant nodes, the constants in Theorem 2.2 are not best possible. Schurer [7] has proven that for $w \equiv 1$, equidistant nodes and $f \in C^4[a, b]$,

$$|R_n^s[f]| \leq \Delta_n^3 |f''(a) + f''(b)|/40 + (b - a) \Delta_n^4 \|f^{(4)}\|/320.$$

where the constants $1/40$ and $1/320$ are best possible.

For the weights $a_{i,n}^s$ of Q_n^s , the following estimate in dependence of the global mesh ratio holds.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $h_i/h_j \leq M$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$|a_{i,n}^s| \leq \Delta_n \|w\| (1 + M) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

3. SPECIAL NODE DISTRIBUTIONS

We now consider the case that the nodes are given by a node distribution function z , i.e.,

$$y_{i,n} = z(x_{i,n}), \quad \text{where } x_{i,n} = x_i = ih, h = 1/n.$$

For simplicity, only the following two classes of node distributions will be considered:

$$Z_1 = \{z \in C^1[0, 1]; z(0) = a, \quad z(1) = b, \quad z'(x) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 1\},$$

$$Z_2 = \{z \in C^2[0, 1]; z(0) = a, \quad z(1) = b, \quad z'(x) > 0 \text{ for } 0 < x < 1, \\ z'(0) = z'(1) = 0, \quad z''(0) \neq 0, \quad z''(1) \neq 1\}.$$

Important examples are (i) for $z \in Z_1$: $z(x) = a + x(b - a)$ (equidistant nodes), and (ii) for $z \in Z_2$: $z(x) = -\cos \pi x$ with $a = -1$, $b = 1$ (nodes of the Clenshaw-Curtis q.f.). Let

$$\lambda = 3^{1/2} - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i = \lambda_{i,n} = (\lambda^i + \lambda^{n-i})/(1 + \lambda^n). \quad (3.1)$$

Further, for $z \in C^1[0, 1]$, let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,n}^0 &= hz'(x_i) w(y_i)(5 + \lambda_{1,n})/12, & i = 0, n, \\ a_{i,n}^0 &= hz'(x_i) w(y_i)(1 - \lambda_{i,n}/2), & i = 1, \dots, n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $z \in Z_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and $a_{i,n}^s$ the weights of Q_n^s .*

(a) *There exists a constant $d = d(w, z)$ (i.e., d depends on w and z only) with $|a_{i,n}^s| \leq d/n$ for all i and n .*

(b) *$a_{i,n}^s = hz'(x_i) w(y_i) + o(h)$ if $\varepsilon \leq x_i \leq 1 - \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, and the o -term holds uniformly in i . If $z \in Z_1$ only, then*

$$a_{i,n}^s = a_{i,n}^0 + o(h) \quad \text{uniformly for all } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

(c) *If $i = i(n)$ depends on n such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i(n)/n = x \in (0, 1)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{i(n),n}^s = z'(x) w(z(x))$.*

(d) *$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{i,n}^s = z'(0) w(a)(1 - \lambda^i/2)$ for any fixed $i \geq 1$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{0,n}^s = z'(0) w(a)(5 + \lambda)/12$.*

(Corresponding results are obtained if $n - i$ is fixed.)

For the best q.f. with respect to W_2^r ($r \geq 1$), $w \equiv 1$, and $z(x) = x$, three conjectures were set up by Meyers and Sard [4], which were proven by Schoenberg in [6]. The first two conjectures, which concern the weights (the third concerns the L_2 -norm of the corresponding Peano kernel and will not be considered here) are as follows:

$$\text{(MS1)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{[\frac{n}{2}] + i, n}^s = 1 \quad \text{for any fixed integer } i,$$

and

$$\text{(MS2)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{i,n}^s \quad \text{exists for any fixed integer } i \geq 0$$

($[x]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than x). Theorem 3.1 suggests the following generalization of these conjectures for the best q.f. with respect to W_2^r ($r \geq 1$), $w \in C[a, b]$, and $y_i = z(x_i)$, $z \in C^1[0, 1]$ strictly increasing:

$$\text{(GMS1)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{i(n),n}^s = z'(x) w(z(x)) \quad \text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i(n)/n = x \in (0, 1),$$

and

$$\text{(GMS2)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_{i,n}^s \quad \text{exists for any fixed integer } i \geq 0.$$

We return to the case $r=2$ and conclude with the following theorem, which is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.1(a) and (b) (the proof will be omitted).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $z \in Z_j, j = 1, 2$. Then the following is valid.*

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n |a_{i,n}^s| = \int_a^b |w(x)| dx,$
 (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n^s[f] = \int_a^b f(x) w(x) dx$ if f is Riemann integrable.

4. THE PROOFS OF SECTION 2

Let $g \in C^2[a, b]$ be any fixed function with

$$g'' = w,$$

and let s_j be the B -splines of degree 1, i.e., for $j = 0, \dots, n$

$$s_j(x) = \begin{cases} (x - y_{j-1})/h_j & \text{for } x \in (y_{j-1}, y_j) \\ (y_{j+1} - x)/h_{j+1} & \text{for } x \in [y_j, y_{j+1}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

($y_{-1} < a$ and $y_{n+1} > b$ may be chosen arbitrary). Now the set of all Peano kernels $K_n = K_{2,n}$ of order two of q.f. with nodes y_0, \dots, y_n is given by

$$G_n = \left\{ K_n = g + \sum_{i=0}^n c_i s_i; c_i \in \mathbb{R}, K_n(a) = K_n(b) = 0 \right\}.$$

Since G_1 consists of one element only (viz. $L_1[g]$, s. (4.4)), we assume that $n \geq 2$. For any fixed $K_n^* \in G_n$, we get

$$G_n = \left\{ K_n = K_n^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i s_i; c_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Therefore, a q.f. Q_n^s is best in the sense of Sard (with respect to W_2^2, w , and y_0, \dots, y_n), if its second Peano kernel K_n^s satisfies

$$\|K_n^s\|_2 = \min_{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}} \left\| K_n^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i s_i \right\|_2, \quad (4.1)$$

and, as a consequence, K_n^s is also determined by

$$\int_a^b s_i(x) K_n^s(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.2)$$

If $K_n^s = K_n^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i^s s_i$, then the c_i^s 's are the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i-1} h_i / (h_i + h_{i+1}) + 2c_i + c_{i+1} h_{i+1} / (h_i + h_{i+1}) \\ = r_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with $c_0 = c_n = 0$ and $r_i = -6 \int_a^b s_i(x) K_n^*(x) dx / (h_i + h_{i+1})$. System (4.3) is well known, e.g., from cubic spline interpolation.

LEMMA 4.1. *The solution of (4.3) satisfies*

- (a) $\max\{|c_i|; i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \leq \max\{|r_i|; i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and
- (b) $|c_i| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |r_j| 2^{1-|i-j|} / 3, i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

For (a) s. de Boor [1, p. 43/44], for (b) see Kershaw [2]. In the sequel we will make essential use of linear spline interpolation. Let $L_n[f]$ be the corresponding error, i.e.,

$$L_n[f](x) = f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n f(y_i) s_i(x), \quad x \in [a, b]. \tag{4.4}$$

If $x \in [y_i, y_{i+1}]$, then $L_n[f](x) = (x - y_i)(x - y_{i+1})[y_i, y_{i+1}, x]f$ (de Boor [1, p. 39]; $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the second divided difference), and from this it is easy to get the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. (a) *Let $f \in C^2[a, b]$. Then, for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\int_a^b s_j(x) L_n[f](x) dx = -f''(\eta_j)(h_j^3 + h_{j+1}^3)/24$, where $\eta_j \in [y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}]$.*

(b) $\|L_n[f]\|_2 \leq ((b-a)/120)^{1/2} \Delta_n^2 \|f''\|$ and $\|L_n[f]\| \leq \Delta_n^2 \|f''\|/8$ for $f \in C^2[a, b]$.

(c) $\|L_n[f]\|_2 \leq ((b-a)/30)^{1/2} \Delta_n \omega(f', \Delta_n)$ and $\|L_n[f]\| \leq \Delta_n \omega(f', \Delta_n)/4$ for $f \in C^1[a, b]$.

(d) $\|L_n[f]\|_2 \leq (b-a)^{1/2} \omega(f, \Delta_n)$ and $\|L_n[f]\| \leq \omega(f, \Delta_n)$ for $f \in C[a, b]$.

Obviously, $L_n[g] \in G_n$. $L_n[g]$ is the Peano kernel of the (generalized) trapezoidal rule.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $K_n^s = L_n[g] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i s_i$. Then $|c_i| \leq \Delta_n^2 \|w\|/4, i = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. From (4.3) with $K_n^* = L_n[g]$ and Lemma 4.2(a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |r_j| &= (h_j + h_{j+1})^{-1} (h_j^3 + h_{j+1}^3) |w(\eta_j)|/4 \\ &\leq \max(h_j^2, h_{j+1}^2) \|w\|/4 \leq \Delta_n^2 \|w\|/4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

An application of Lemma 4.1(a) completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (a) Since $L_n[g] \in G_n$, (4.1) and Lemma 4.2(b) give

$$\|K_n^s\|_2 \leq \|L_n[g]\|_2 \leq ((b-a)/120)^{1/2} \Delta_n^2 \|w\|.$$

(b) From Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.2(b), we get

$$\|K_n^s\| \leq \|L_n[g]\| + \left\| \sum s_i \right\| \max |c_i| \leq \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}\right) \Delta_n^2 \|w\|. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. By (1.2) and (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^s[f] &= \int_a^b \left(f''(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f''(y_i) s_i(x) \right) K_n^s(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b L_n[f''](x) K_n^s(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_a^b f''(y_0) s_0(x) K_n^s(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_a^b f''(y_n) s_n(x) K_n^s(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n^s[f]| &\leq \|L_n[f'']\|_2 \|K_n^s\|_2 \\ &\quad + (|f''(a)| + |f''(b)|) \|K_n^s\| \Delta_n/2. \end{aligned}$$

Now everything follows directly from Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1. Q.E.D.

By Schoenberg [5], we have $a_{0,n} = -K'_n(a+)$, $a_{n,n} = K'_n(b-)$, and $a_{i,n} = K'_n(y_i-) - K'_n(y_i+)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Some simple computations give

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $K_n = L_n[g] + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i s_i$, $c_0 = c_n = 0$, and let $a_{i,n}$ be the weights of the corresponding q.f. Then*

$$a_{0,n} = h_1 w(\zeta_0)/2 - c_1/h_1, \quad a_{n,n} = h_n w(\zeta_n)/2 - c_{n-1}/h_n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,n} &= (h_i + h_{i+1}) w(\zeta_i)/2 + (c_i - c_{i+1})/h_{i+1} \\ &\quad + (c_i - c_{i-1})/h_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_0 \in [y_0, y_1]$, $\zeta_n \in [y_{n-1}, y_n]$, and $\zeta_i \in [y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 2.3 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4.

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Let $\lambda = 3^{1/2} - 2$ and $\lambda_i = (\lambda^i + \lambda^{n-i})/(1 + \lambda^n)$ as in (3.1). Then

$$\lambda \in (-1, 0), \quad 1 + 4\lambda + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad |\lambda_i| \leq 1, \quad i = 0, \dots, n. \quad (5.1)$$

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $K_n^s = L_n[g] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (w(y_i) h_i h_{i+1} (1 - \lambda_i)/12 + \varepsilon_i) s_i$ and $z \in Z_j, j = 1, 2$. Then there exists a constant $d = d(w, z)$ such that*

$$|\varepsilon_i| \leq dh^2(\omega(w, h) + \omega(z', h)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.1(a) gives $\max |\varepsilon_i| \leq \max |r_i|$ with

$$r_i = -6 \int_a^b s_i(x) \left(L_n[g](x) + \sum_{j=0}^n w(y_j) \right. \\ \left. \times h_j h_{j+1} (1 - \lambda_j) s_j(x)/12 \right) dx / (h_i + h_{i+1})$$

(note that $1 - \lambda_0 = 1 - \lambda_n = 0$; further let $h_0 = h_1$ and $h_{n+1} = h_n$). Lemma 4.2(a) and some elementary calculations give $12(h_i + h_{i+1})r_i = w(\eta_i)A + B + C$, where

$$A = h_i^2(2(h_i - h_{i+1}) + h_i - h_{i-1}) \\ + h_{i+1}^2(2(h_{i+1} - h_i) + h_{i+1} - h_{i+2}), \\ B = w(y_{i-1}) h_{i-1} h_i^2 \lambda_{i-1} + 2w(y_i) h_i h_{i+1} (h_i + h_{i+1}) \lambda_i \\ + w(y_{i+1}) h_{i+1}^2 h_{i+2} \lambda_{i+1} \\ C = (w(\eta_i) - w(y_{i-1})) h_{i-1} h_i^2 \\ + 2(w(\eta_i) - w(y_i)) h_i h_{i+1} (h_i + h_{i+1}) \\ + (w(\eta_i) - w(y_{i+1})) h_{i+1}^2 h_{i+2}.$$

(i) $|h_{j+m} - h_j| = |\int_{x_j+m-1}^{x_j+m} (z'(x) - z'(x-mh)) dx| \leq mh\omega(z', h)$, which gives $|A| \leq 3A_n h\omega(z', h)(h_i + h_{i+1})$.

(ii) Statement (5.1) gives $\lambda_i = -(\lambda_{i-1} + \lambda_{i+1})/4$. Inserting this in B gives, together with $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$,

$$|B| \leq |w(y_{i-1}) h_{i-1} h_i^2 - w(y_i) h_i h_{i+1} (h_i + h_{i+1})/2| \\ + |w(y_{i+1}) h_{i+1}^2 h_{i+2} - w(y_i) h_i h_{i+1} (h_i + h_{i+1})/2| = B_1 + B_2.$$

The triangle inequality gives

$$B_1 \leq \omega(w, \Delta_n) h_{i-1} h_i^2 + \|w\| h_i |h_{i-1} h_i - h_{i+1}(h_i + h_{i+1})/2| \leq e_1 h^2 (\omega(w, h) + \omega(z', h)) h_i.$$

This is also true for B_2 with the same constant $e_1 = e_1(w, z)$ and h_i replaced by h_{i+1} .

(iii) $|C| \leq e_2 h^2 \omega(w, h)(h_i + h_{i+1}).$ Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.2. Let $K_n^s = L_n[g] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i s_i$ and $z \in Z_2$. Then

$$|c_i| \leq d \min(i^2, (n-i)^2) h^4 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ where } d = d(w, z).$$

Proof. (i) $h_i = h z'(\xi_i) = h(z'(\xi_i) - z'(0)), \xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ gives

$$h_i \leq i h^2 \|z''\|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5.2}$$

(ii) From (4.5) and (5.2) we get $|r_j| \leq \|w\| (j+1)^2 h^4 \|z''\|^2/4$ and therefore, by Lemma 4.1(b),

$$\begin{aligned} |c_i| &\leq e_1 h^4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j+1)^2 2^{-|j-i|} \\ &= e_1 i^2 h^4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} ((j+1)/i)^2 2^{-|j-i|} \leq e_2 i^2 h^4, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_j = e_j(w, z), j = 1, 2$. The other estimates follow similar. Q.E.D.

We are now ready to prove the following lemma on $a_{i,n}^s$, from which Theorem 3.1 follows immediately.

LEMMA 5.3. (a) Let $z \in Z_1$. Then there exist constants $d_j = d_j(w, z), j = 1, 2$, with

$$|a_{i,n}^s - a_{i,n}^0| \leq d_1 h (\omega(z', h) + \omega(w, h))$$

and

$$|a_{i,n}^s| \leq d_2 h \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

(b) Let $z \in Z_2$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then the estimates of (a) hold for

$$\varepsilon + 1/n \leq i/n \leq 1 - \varepsilon - 1/n \quad \text{with } d_j = d_j(w, z, \varepsilon), j = 1, 2.$$

(c) Let $z \in Z_2$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, for ε sufficiently small, there exists a constant $d_3 = d_3(w, z, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$|a_{i,n}^s| \leq d_3 h^2 \min(i+1, n-i+1) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i/n \leq \varepsilon - 1/n$$

$$\text{or } 1 - \varepsilon + 1/n \leq i/n \leq 1.$$

Proof. (a) Let K_n^s be as in Lemma 5.1 and $z \in Z_1$. For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, Lemma 4.4 gives $a_{i,n}^s = a_i^1 + a_i^2 + a_i^3$ with $a_i^1 = (h_i + h_{i+1})w(\zeta_i)/2$, $\zeta_i \in [y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}]$, $a_i^2 = -(w(y_{i-1})h_{i-1}(1 - \lambda_{i-1}) - w(y_i)(h_i + h_{i+1})(1 - \lambda_i) + w(y_{i+1})h_{i+2}(1 - \lambda_{i+1}))/12$, and $a_i^3 = (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1})/h_{i+1} + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1})/h_i$.

(i) $|a_i^1 - hz'(x_i)w(y_i)| = h|z'(\xi_i)w(\zeta_i) - z'(x_i)w(y_i)|$, $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$. The triangle inequality gives $|a_i^1 - hz'(x_i)w(y_i)| \leq e_1 h(\omega(z', h) + \omega(w, h))$.

(ii)

$$|a_i^2 + hz'(x_i)w(y_i)\lambda_i/2| = |w(y_i)(h_i + h_{i+1})(\lambda_{i-1} - 2\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1})/2$$

$$- (w(y_{i-1})h_{i-1} - w(y_i)(h_i + h_{i+1})/2)(1 - \lambda_{i-1})$$

$$- (w(y_{i+1})h_{i+2} - w(y_i)(h_i + h_{i+1})/2)$$

$$\times (1 - \lambda_{i+1}) + 6hz'(x_i)w(y_i)\lambda_i|/12$$

$$\leq e_2 h(\omega(z', h) + \omega(w, h)),$$

since (5.1) gives $\lambda_{i-1} - 2\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} = -6\lambda_i$.

(iii) By Lemma 5.1 and $h_i = z'(\xi_i)h \geq h \min z'(x) > 0$, we get

$$|a_i^3| \leq dh^2(\omega(z', h) + \omega(w, h))(2/h_i + 2/h_{i+1})$$

$$\leq e_3 h(\omega(z', h) + \omega(w, h)).$$

Combining (i)–(iii) gives the first statement of Lemma 5.3(a) for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. The case $i = 0, n$ can be treated in the same way. The second statement follows directly from Theorem 2.3.

(b) follows by minor modifications of the proof of (a).

(c) For $z \in Z_2$ and ε sufficiently small, we have $z_\varepsilon := \min\{|z''(x)|; 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } 1 - \varepsilon \leq x \leq 1\} > 0$. If $0 < j/n \leq \varepsilon$, then

$$h_j = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \int_0^x z''(t) dt dx \geq z_\varepsilon h^2(j-1/2) > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Let K_n^s be as in Lemma 5.2. Then Lemma 4.4 and (5.2) give (for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$)

$$|a_{i,n}^s| \leq e_1(i+1)h^2 + e_2(i+1)^2 h^4(1/h_i + 1/h_{i+1}) \leq d_3(i+1)h^2$$

by (5.3). The other cases can be treated similarly.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. C. DE BOOR, "A Practical Guide to Splines," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
2. D. KERSHAW, Inequalities on the elements of the inverse of a certain tridiagonal matrix, *Math. Comp.* **24** (1970), 155-158.
3. D. KERSHAW, Sard's best quadrature formulas of order two, *J. Approx. Theory* **6** (1972), 466-474.
4. L. MEYERS AND A. SARD, Best approximate integration formulas, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1950), 118-123.
5. I. J. SCHOENBERG, A second look at approximate quadrature formulae and spline interpolation, *Adv. in Math.* **4** (1970), 277-300.
6. I. J. SCHOENBERG, Cardinal interpolation and spline functions VI. Semi-cardinal interpolation and quadrature formulae, *J. Analyse Math.* **27** (1974), 159-204.
7. F. SCHURER, An application of natural cubic spline functions to numerical integration formulae, in "Proceedings of the International Conference on Constructive Function Theory, Varna 1970," Publishing House of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 1972.